## Monday, March 4, 2019

- Warm-up
- An Associated Press article on potential violent behavior reported the results of a survey of 750 workers who were employed full time. Of those surveyed, 125 indicated that they were so angered by a coworker during the past year that they felt like hitting the coworker (but didn't). Assuming that is it reasonable to regard this sample as representative of the population of full-time workers, use this information to construct and interpret a 90\% confidence interval estimate of $p$, the proportion of all full-time workers so angered in the last year that they wanted to hit a coworker?
- Check Homework
- Discussion of Errors
- Review for Test



## Objectives

- Content Objective: I will review content so that I am succesful on the unit test.
- Social Objective: I will participate in class discussions without distracting others.
- Language Objective: I will write clear notes to study from so I am successful on the test.

Warm-up
An Associated Press article on potential violent behavior reported the results of a survey of 750 workers who were employed full time. Of those surveyed, 125 indicated that they were so angered by a coworker during the past year that they felt like hitting the coworker (but didn't). Assuming that is it reasonable to regard this sample as representative of the population of full-time workers, use this information to construct and interpret a $90 \%$ confidence interval estimate of $p$, the proportion of all full-time workers so angered in the last year that they wanted to hit a coworker?
representative sample - stated $750<10 \%$ of all workers
$0.166 \pm 0.022 \mathrm{I}$ am $\begin{aligned} & 125(n p) \geq 10 \\ & 625(n q) \geq 10\end{aligned}>\begin{gathered}\text { sample } \\ \text { size }\end{gathered}$ 1 proportion $z$-interval
$(0.1 H 4,0.188)$ that the true prep
that confides
that the true prop of people who wanted to hit a coworker is

$$
16.6 \% \pm 2.2 \%
$$

## Extend warm-up

An Associated Press article on potential violent behavior reported the results of a survey of 750 workers who were employed full time. Of those surveyed, 125 indicated that they were so angered by a coworker during the past year that they felt like hitting the coworker (but didn't). Assuming that is it reasonable to regard this sample as representative of the population of full-time workers, use this information to test if this study shows that this study conflicts the other studies that show that $42 \%$ omployee time is spent engaging in or trying to resolve conflict.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ing in ot trying } \\
& 14.4 \%, 18 \% \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

One more extension
An Associated Press article on potential violent behavior reported the results of a survey of 750 workers who were employed full time. Of those surveyed, 125 indicated that they were so angered by a coworker during the past year that they felt like hitting the coworker (but didn't). Assuming that is it reasonable to regard this sample as representative of the population of full-time workers, use this information to test if this study shows that this study conflicts the other studies that show that $42 \%$ of employee time is spent engaging in or trying to resolve conflict.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
A R T_{r_{u}} \text { is my } & \underset{a_{1}}{B F} F_{a} \\
\propto r_{e_{e}} & \text { sse }
\end{array}
$$

What would make a Type I error?
What would make a Type II error?
Which is more dangerous? Why?
mC Practice
$P$-value
$91 \% 2 \quad 209$
$.91 \times 209$
$X$ : whole namer
$n$ :


## Due Tomorrow

## ALL HOMEWORK FROM THE UNIT (12 assignments)

